UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,080	06/15/2005	Takashi Tsuchida	SHIG CP22JU03SG	9061
27667 HAYES SOLO	7590 08/19/200 WAY P.C.		EXAMINER	
	SE DRIVE, SUITE 14		HINES, LATOSHA D	
TUCSON, AZ 85718			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

admin@hayes-soloway.com smckniff@hayes-soloway.com nsoloway@hayes-soloway.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,080	TSUCHIDA, TAKASHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LATOSHA HINES	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. /ance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7-10 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the specific state.	ccepted or b) objected to be ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application _·

Application/Control Number: 10/539,080 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-10 are pending and have been fully considered. Claims 3 and 6 have been cancelled and claims 9-10 are new.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions including amendments and arguments, filed on July 15, 2009, have been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1797

5. Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CALLIS (US 2,104,021).

With respect to claim 1 CALLIS discloses a motor fuel containing a hydrocarbon composition and an alcohol. The admixture of the hydrocarbon and the alcohol may be facilitated and the mixture stabilized by including in the fuel as a stabilizing agent therefor a saturated aliphatic ether containing at least five carbon atoms and an ether miscible monohydric alcohol having a higher molecular weight than the alcohol admixed with the hydrocarbon in a quantity sufficient to maintain a homogeneous mixture at sub-zero temperatures (column 1 lines 44-53). The proportion in which the various constituents of the fuel may be present varies somewhat, when an alcohol in an amount of from 5 to 34% volume, a saturated aliphatic ether in an amount of from 2 to 10% by volume, and a higher aliphatic alcohol in an amount from 2 to 12% by volume were used. A stabilized fuel may be produced in accordance with the invention which contains as much as 12% of water and which is stable at -20°F (column 2 lines 11-20). A result showed a fuel containing 88% aviation naphtha (hydrocarbon), 10% ethyl alcohol, and 2% butyl ether of ethylene glycol has a water tolerance of about 2% at -20°F, whereas a similar fuel containing 4% butyl alcohol has a 1.5% water tolerance at -20°F (column 3 lines 60-72). It is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the fuel composition of

Application/Control Number: 10/539,080 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

CALLIS has water being added to the composition since it is known in the art that hydrocarbon components and alcohols usually contain slight amounts of water. Applicant has not given an exact amount of water required to determine if the fuel composition would not perform equally as well with just the addition of water through the hydrocarbon and alcohol components. Further, independent claims 1 and 2 are drawn to a liquid fuel composition. The examiner is of the position that the preamble claim language "for an internal combustion engine having a fuel delivery system parts formed of aluminum or an aluminum alloy" is a statement of intended use and carries no weight in the composition claim.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LATOSHA HINES whose telephone number is 571-270-5551. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,080 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ellen M McAvoy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

/LATOSHA HINES/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797